

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/778,769	OKAHARA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steve Sax	2174	

All Participants:

(1) Mr Staas.

(2) Kristine Kincaid.

Status of Application: Restriction Requirement

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The supervisor informed the applicant after reviewing the file that the restriction requirement should be withdrawn and the case allowed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

KRISTINE KINCAID
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Kristine Kincaid
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)